

SERIOUS Offences connected with Indigo in the District of Nuddea that have occurred during the last five years. This Statement is printed at length on account of the important space which the District has lately filled in public estimation.

dix No. 11.	Year and office No.	Prosecutors, &c.	Defendants, &c.	Charge and particulars of the case	Decision, date, Appeal, Grounds of Acquittal.
	1855			MURDER OF BISTU GHOSE.	
	No. 197	Budun Ghose, inhabitant of Boira, servant of Umesh Chunder Pal Chowdori.	Arthur Brodrick, Planter, and others.	Bistu Ghose is said to have informed on May 26th against some of Mr. Brodrick's <i>lattials</i> , and have gone with some chowkidars to arrest them, Mr. B. and his party are said to have pursued them, whence an affray in which Bistu Ghose was said to have been killed by clubs and his body thrown into the Ganges.	Dismissed by Deputy Magistrate, Santipore, July 9th 1855, on the ground of being a got-up case; it was also proved that Bistu Ghose was still alive. August 7th 1855. Appeal was made to the Session Judge against the order of Deputy Magistrate, but rejected on the ground that the Deputy Magistrate had gone fully into the case.
	No. 101	Government.	M. de Dombal, Planter and others.	<i>Plunder.</i> Mr. de Dombal, with 150 <i>lattials</i> is said to have gone and plundered two houses in Ischunderpore, in which attack two men were wounded. The attack was made because the ryots of Ischunderpore refused to take advances for Indigo.	On July 31st 1855. Mr. de. Dombal was acquitted, the rest of the defendants (5) were sentenced to one year's imprisonment and 100 rupees fine, or hard labor if not realized in seven days each. The Deputy Magistrate said that it appeared that Mr. de. Dombal, though aware of the attack was not present; that his son M. F. de. Dombal was present. He was ordered to appear and give bail, but eventually did not come. Appeal was made to the Session Judge, who, on August 23rd 1855, acquitted all the defendants.
	1856			<i>Illegal Confinement.</i>	
	No. 405	Asul Sheikh of Hurrah.	Nobinchunder Biswas, Gomashta of Mr. Smith.	* This case has been burnt, therefore no particulars can be given.	Imprisonment for three months, and a fine of 20 rupees or two months additional. September 23, 1856.
	No. 6	Government.	(1) Rhada Mohun Chatterjee and 40 others in the service of Kalachund Bhattarcharjyo, zemindar of Belpokuria.	Mr. de Dombal gave information of an attack made on him by the defendant, to the thannah, and the Magistrate. Two of the defendants charged Mr. de Dombal with firing on them, who admitted that he did so, but for purposes of intimidation, not injury.	Defendant 1 sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 16 Rs. fine in 4 days, in lieu of labor and 100 Rs. fine, or 3 months additional. Defendants 2, 3, 4, 5. sentenced to 2 months imprisonment and 8 Rs. fine in 4 days, in lieu of labor, and 50 Rs. fine, or 2 months additional imprisonment each. November 5th 1856. Appeal To Session Judge, on November 17th.
	1857.			<i>Illegal Assemblage and Attempt to Commit breach of peace.</i>	Defendant 1. acquitted: but decision against the rest upheld.
	No. 26	Moti Ulla, servant of Mr. Larmour, Bengal Indigo Company.	1. Raja Sirdar; 2 Loknath Bhow and others in service of Brijonath Pal Chowdari.	The defendants appear to have issued from Brijonath Pal Chowdari's factory and proceeded in the direction of Mr. Larmour's factory (Boochankali.) The Thannah burkundazes being present, soon stopped any actual breach of the peace. Many previous dis-	Defendant (1) sentenced to 100 Rs. fine or 6 months imprisonment, and 20 Rs. fine in lieu of labor, February 4th 1857. 2 other defendants sentenced to the same, February 11th 1857. Defendant (2) to 3 months imprisonment and 12 Rs. fine, in lieu

			<p>putes had existed between the parties, and Pal Chowdari was on recognizances.</p>	<p>of labor and 200 Rs. fine or 3 months imprisonment additional, and 12 Rs. in lieu of labor. Appeal to Session Judge, March 7th 1857. Defendant (2) was acquitted, on the grounds of insufficient proof, the rest of the decision upheld.</p>
<p>1857 No. 326 41</p>	<p>Digambar Singh, Burkundaz of Koturia factory, (Mr. de Sharon's.)</p>	<p>Ram Chunder Roy, Tara Chunder Roy and others, Talookdars of Joirampore.</p>	<p><i>Plunder and Arson.</i> A quarrel exists between the factory and those talookdars. The defendants were said to have come and plundered a godown of the factory, assaulted the prosecutor, and carried off 64 head of cattle, a ledger book and 10 Rs.</p>	<p>Dismissed by Deputy Magistrate (Nuddea) February 24th 1857, because the evidence of the prosecutor and his witnesses was entirely at variance.</p>
<p>No. 136 330</p>	<p>Podai Turufdar, and others, servants of Motee Baboo, zemindar.</p>	<p>Shital Chunder Mookerjee, and others, servants of Mr. Roberts, Bogoola Factory.</p>	<p><i>Plunder of Village of Hoseindanga.</i> There was said to have been an attack on this village on February 17th because the ryots of it were unwilling to take advances, or to let Mr. Roberts have the village in lease.</p>	<p>Struck off the file, May 30th 1857, on account of the absence of all parties to the plaint.</p>
<p>1858 No. 18</p>	<p>Rammohun Sircar, servant of Mr. A. Hills, and Government and Akbur Sheik.</p>	<p>Isob Biswas, Vazir Sheik, Hurru Sheik and others.</p>	<p><i>Riot attended with wounding and plunder.</i> On May 11th, 1858, about 80 inhabitants of the village of Betai, attacked Mr. A. Hill's catchery in that village, took several papers, wounded a Government peada and chowkeydar, then went to another quarter of the village, and plundered there and severely wounded 6 men. This last attack was made, because the sufferers would not join them against the factory.</p>	<p>Isob Biswas, and Brindawun Dutt, the leaders, fined 100 Rs. or 6 months imprisonment with labor, or 15 Rs. in lieu of labor, to be paid in 5 days. 4 defendants—50 Rs. fine or 3 months imprisonment, 15 Rs. to be paid in 5 days or labor. 4 defendants—25 Rs. fine or 6 weeks imprisonment, labor or 5 Rs. in 5 days. 4 defendants—25 Rs. fine, 6 months imprisonment, labor or 5 Rs. in 5 days. 1 defendant—25 Rs. fine or 6 weeks imprisonment, 5 Rs. or labor as 5 days. 4 defendants—50 Rs. fine or 3 months imprisonment, and 10 Rs. in five days or labor. Appeal generally confirmed the order.</p>
<p>No. 159 346. (a.)</p>	<p>Loknath Khalasi, servant of Mr Larmour, Mulkath Factory.</p>	<p>(1) Dorab Munder, and 3 others, and 11 others.</p>	<p><i>Affray and Assault</i></p>	<p>March 3rd 1858. Defendants 1, 2, 3, 4, sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and 200 Rs. fine or 6 months addition with labor. Defendant 5—16, to 3 months imprisonment with labor.</p>
<p>(b.)</p>	<p>Ditto Ditto</p>	<p>Mainu and others.</p>	<p>Do. do.</p>	<p>March 31st 1858. Acquitted, because the identification was not conclusive.</p>
<p>(c.)</p>	<p>Ditto Ditto</p>	<p>(1) Dorab Munder and 6 others.</p>	<p><i>Assault, wounding, riot.</i></p>	<p>March 31st 1858. Defendant (1) sentenced to 6 months and 200 Rs. fine or 6 months labor. Defendants 2—6, 3 months with labor.</p>

dix No. 11.	1858 (d.)	Government.	Issurehunder Biswas.	Attempt at Assault (on the ground of a letter found in his house.)	March 31st 1858. Defendant bound over in two sureties of 500 Rs. each, for good conduct for one-year.
				<i>Breach of the Peace.</i> e)	
	(e.)	Ditto Ditto	Shamchunder Paul chowdry, Zemindar of the village in regard to which the dispute took place.	Certain tenures in Dihl Same-talah were declared valid for the B. I. Company by the Civil Court. A disposition to oust the holders by leaguings the ryots and under-tenants existed. On this occasion they attacked Mr. Cockshott, the agent of the B. I. Company quite unprovokedly on the morning of January 15th, as he went to visit the plaintiffs. He was attacked by about 30 <i>lattials</i> , with two servants, wounded, but escaped on horseback.	March 31st 18'8, held in recognizance to keep the peace in respect to the B. I. Company, in Rs. 5,000. Appeal to the Session Judge, but decision upheld.
				<i>Forcibly detaining Timber.</i>	
No. 111 (a.)		Bosti Lall	(1) Mr. H. D. Tripp, and (2) Juggobundhu Shah, Naib of Akupore Factory.	It appeared that defendant 2, forcibly detained a quantity of timber belonging to the prisoners, while being conveyed down the river, near the Akupore Factory; defendant (1) manager of the Bamondi concern, caused the timber to be removed to his own factory. The timber was first of all appropriated by defendant (2). The owner petitioned the Darogah, who made it over to prosecutor in the presence of defendant 2, but it was gradually taken away by the factory people and on one occasion, when the Darogah and some Police who present, with a view to rescue the timber, defendant (1) was conspicuous in ordering the removal of the timber.	March 11th 1858. Defendants sentenced to a fine, 200 Rs. given as compensation to the prosecutor. Defendant 2—100 Rs. fine or 2 months imprisonment.
				<i>Illegal Imprisonment of Rameshur.</i>	
	(b.)	Rameshur and Government.	(1) Mr. H. D. Tripp, (2) Kisto Lall, (3) Atabdi	Rameshur was in charge of the timber, gave information to the police of the detention of the timber, and came to Mr. Tripp at first to ask for the restoration of the timber. Mr. Tripp ordered defendants 2 and 3 to remove Rameshur and confine him in a factory godown. <i>Nothing was heard of him for 3 months.</i> On February 15th information was given to the Assistant Magistrate Kurrimpore of his whereabouts. He was discovered by the Magistrate in a deserted building under the surveillance of defendant 2 when he was released.	Defendant 1 sentenced to fine of 300 Rs. and costs. Defendants 2, 3—20 Rs. fine each or 2 months imprisonment, out of this 25 Rs. compensation to Rameshur.

INDIGO COMMISSION.

lxv.

Appendix No

1858 No. 17 (a.)	Government.	(1) Ramnarain Roy (2) Joychund Sircar, and 4 others.	<i>Affray with Plunder.</i> a)	June 14th 1858. Defendants 1, 2, sentenced to 6 months and 250 Rs. fine or labor. Defendants 4, 5, 6,—6 months and 20 Rs. fine or labor. Appeal to Sessions Judge, decision upheld, July 30th 1858.
(b.)	Ditto Ditto	Russi Takidgir, and others.	<i>Affray with Homicide.</i> b)	June 14th 1858. Acquitted, on ground of insufficient evidence.
(c.)	Ditto Ditto	Madhu Sirdar	<i>Affray with plunder.</i> c)	June 19th 1858. 6 months imprisonment, and 20 Rs. fine or labor.
No. 549 72.	Madun Ghose.	Hurri Bhattacharj, and 15 others, Belpukuria Factory.	Aggravated assault with wounding and carrying off cattle. An old dispute between the factory and the villagers was the original cause of this. There appears to have been a cattle trespass on the one hand, and a seizure of cattle by the factory people on the other, hence an affray and the prosecutor wounded on the head.	This case was referred to the Magistrate of Nuddea, of October 29th 1858, and was dismissed by him on the ground that the witnesses were palpably partially inclined, and that the Prosecutor much misrepresented the case.
No. 637	Government.	1 Kali Dass Chuckerbutty. 2 Gorai Sheik.	<i>Riot and Resistance to the Police.</i> There is a quarrel between the Loknathpore factory and the zemindar Brindhabun Chunder Sircar, especially about some leases. On this occasion the cattle of the villagers of the zemindar was carried off by the factory people, the ryots rescued them; the factory people rallied and, headed by the defendants, opposed by the Police, joined by another large body, and were with much difficulty stopped by the darogah and his burkundazes.	December 11th 1858. Defendant 1. 2 months imprisonment and 50 Rs. fine, in 2 days, or labor. Defendant 2, 2 months imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine, in 2 days or labor. Appeal to Session Judge upheld, March 25th 1859.
1859 No. 91 (a.)	Mr. E. Roberts and Government.	Brindhabun Sircar.	Assembling armed men with intention to commit a breach of the peace.	May 12th 1859. 200 Rs. fine or 2 months imprisonment, and 2,000 Rs. recognizance not to break the peace for a year.
(b.)	Ditto Ditto	Swarup Ghose and 4 others.	Illegal assemblage and assault of the prosecutor.	May 12th 1859. 2 months imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine or labor each.

589

Appendix No 11.

	1859. (c.)	Ditto. Ditto.	Halodhur Ghose and 4 others.	<i>Ditto ditto c)</i> Mr. Roberts the manager of the Khalbolia concern of the B. I. Company and his assistant Mr. Tweedie going to take possession of Shibnibas, in which village defendants in the case were prior to a law suit, the proprietors; were attacked by some armed men in the village: the plaintiff was wounded; 5 were identified. De- fendant was convicted because these men had assembled in his house and because of his antecedents with these planters.	May 12th 1859. Defendant acquitted, on the ground of generally insuffi- cient evidence. June 23rd 1859. Brindhaban Sircar acquitted, but security kept on. De- cision confirmed against the rest by Session Judge.
No. 160	(a.)	Purno Chunder Chuckerbutty and others.	Srinath Biswas, Gomashta of the Poragatcha vil- lage (Mr. White Bansberria.)	<i>Illegal Assemblage and Assault with Wounding.</i>	May 31st 1859. 3 months im- prisonment and 50 Rs. fine or labor.
	(b.)	Ditto Ditto.	Halodhur Ghose.	<i>Ditto ditto.</i> A party of spearmen had collected in the Rampore village, appa- rently in the interest of the Bans- berria factory, were observed by prosecutor and his burkundazes who ordered them to be arrested; they resisted and the defendant coming up ordered them to drive off the police; of these they knocked down one and soon after retired. The identity of defendant in case (a) was clearly established. <i>Affray and seizure of cattle.</i>	Acquitted, 1) because of doubt of identity in the Mohurrir's mind. 2) Prosecutor's testimony that he was elsewhere engaged at the time of dispute. June 23rd 1859. Defendant in case (a) acquitted by the Session Judge.
No. 236		Miyajan Biswas and others of Govind- pore: papers with Commissioner.	Servants in the Bansberria fac- tory.	Some cattle were seized by the ryots as trespassing in their fields, and were being taken to the fac- tory, when the Assistant in charge ordered a rescue, and an affray followed, in which Shitul Tarafdar was wounded, and car- ried off by the factory people. No cattle were rescued, Shitul Tarafdar <i>was never seen again</i> , having died in confinement at some factory. <i>Affray attended with Murder.</i>	Defendants 4 months imprisonment each, and 50 Rs. fine or 1 month more each. The case of Shitul Tarafdar has been separately tried and is still under investigation.
No. 21		Kalichurn Sirkar, (Ramnuggur factory.)	Thakur Dayal and others.	The factory people were taking trespassing cattle to the pound, when a rescue was made by the ryots, and a man wounded, so that he died afterwards. <i>Plunder.</i>	At the Sessions 2 Defendants pu- nished with 4 and 3 years impriso- nment, another acquitted.
No. 396		Ramdhon Kamar and others: papers with the Com- missioner.	Mr. W. White and his servants (15)	Defendants assembled a force of club-men, and in open daylight attacked and plundered the village of Gobindpore, with a view to compel the villagers to sow indigo.	November 19th 1859. Mr. W. White fined 300 Rs. Defendants 1, 12 re- leased, 13, 15 sentenced to 6 months imprisonment, and 20 Rs. in lieu of labor.

INDIGO COMMISSION.

No. 273 383.	Moniram Patak, of Shunkorpar- rah, Raya Pandy Baugh Pandy for Mr. Roberts.	Motee Lall Ghose Noyan Ghose Ram Sheik Dinu Sheik Brin- dabon Sirkar and 12 others.	<i>Illegal Assemblage, Assault, Wounding.</i> Plaintiffs were digging up some land in front of Brindabun Sircar's house. The latter ordered them to be stopped by force, and an affray ensued.	December 3rd 1859. 16 Defendants fined Rs. 25 each, or 1 month impri- sonment and 5 Rs. fine or labor. Brindhabun Sircar fined 50 Rs. for- feiture of recognizance of 2000 Rs.
1860 9	Dinu Ghose, Nil- moni Napit.	Baoul Christian.	Arson. Defendant was accused of setting fire to some houses, at the instiga- tion of the Dewan of Chandergote factory, of the Hadra concern, to make the village sow Indigo. Acquitted, because of the inconsis- tency of evidence as to particulars of the fire, and on account of the character of the object and the instrument chosen for such an object.	Acquitted. February 14th 1860.
	Government.	Dinu Ghose and Nilmoni Napit.	False complaint. Countercharge charge to the above.	February 14th 1860. 2 months im- prisonment each, and 10 Rs. fine or labor.
No. 104	Haris Dass.	Ali Khan and 5 there servants of Mr. G. Smith Ruttunpore Fac- tory.	<i>Illegal imprisonment of prosecu- tor's father.</i> Prosecutor deposed that he heard of his father having been seized by the six prisoners and taken to the factory, went there, but could hear nothing of him. Dismissed by Magistrate because witnesses and Plaintiff palpably false. <i>Illegal arrest and imprisonment.</i>	March 1st 1860. Dismissed.
No. 1.	Nuffur Mandul and 4 others ryots.	Jadub Roy, Dewan of the Hurra factory and others.	It was alleged that Biro Mundul, son of prosecutor and two others were arrested by lattials under the orders of defendant 1.) that they were kept at the Hurra factory a day and night: that they were sent to two other factories: that one of them escaped who gave information to the Darogah and that the rest were subsequently released. <i>Illegal arrest and imprisonment</i>	April 9th 1860. Acquitted by De- puty Magistrate, the witnesses to the release, could not recognize the parties released. It was improbable too that they would be confined together; their release after a mo- derate reward had been offered, was another suspicious circumstance.
No. 85	Modhu Sheaik, and others, ryots in Thannah Hur- rah.	Rameshwar and 50 others, ser- vants in Hurrah factory.	It was alleged by the Prosecu- tors that they were confined for some time in different factories, because they refused to sow Indigo. <i>Illegal Imprisonment.</i>	April 24th 1860. Released by De- puty Magistrate because there was (1) want of local natural evidence, (2) improbability in the evidence of the best witness, (3) speedy release after moderate reward offered, (4) no advantage taken by the captors to threaten the friends of their pri- soners.
No. 43	Anundo Sheikh.	Servants of Sho- kadoha factory.	It was alleged that the Prosecutor was arrested by the Gomashta of Shokadoha factory, as an inha- bitant of Pathurgattaa village opposed to the factory, that he was moved from factory to factory ill treated, confined with others, for several days.	April 28th 1860. Released by De- puty Magistrate because (1) there were no eye-witnesses to the arrest or release. (2) suspicious nature of the evi- dence of the other kidnapped men.

dix No II.

			<i>Plunder and Wounding.</i>	
1860. No. 30	Tin Kaori Mandol.	Jadu Ghose and others of Beer-pore factory, the house of the prosecutors.	It was alleged that* 3 Europeans and a large band of lattials surrounded the house of the Prosecutor plundered it, and other houses. *Viz. Messrs H. Sibbald, } W G. Smith, } and Saubolle, }	April 30th 1860. Released, because there was (1,) no decided proof of wounds inflicted. (2) No proof of who began the assault. (3) No probability of so small results from so large an assembly. (4) General exaggeration in the terms of the charge.
No. 62	Government.	Munniruddin Biswas, and 22 others.	<i>Resistance to the Police.</i> A petition was sent to the Darogah of Bagda by one of Mr. Larmour's people to say that, on their going to Barakhanpore, they were driven out by the villagers; the Darogah went to the spot, saw the Naib reading the Parwana and proclamation of March 29 and April 9, to some 25 ryots. They laughed at it, and swore not to obey it; the ringleaders, four, were arrested and presently rescued by a party of lattials, two hundred. Subsequently the Military Police, under the Magistrate (Mr. Macneile) arrested 18 men and one more was next day arrested and identified.	April 12th 1860. 19 of the Defendants sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and hard labor and 20 Rs. fine or 6 months addition and labor. 4 of the Defendants could not be found. Appeal to Session Judge, June 20th 1860, and decision upheld.
No. 108	Prannath Roy, Police Jemadar and Government	Nazir Mandul and others ryots.	<i>Rescue of Prisoners from the Police</i>	June 27th and July 4th.—1 defendant was sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 10 Rs. fine, payable in 7 days or labor, the rest to 6 months imprisonment and 50 Rs. fine, payable in 7 days or labor. Appeal to Session Judge, with whom the case still rests.
No. 77	Budaruddin, Burkundaze of Bagda.	Gunni Biswas and 3 others.	<i>Assault.</i> Prosecutor was sent by his Darogah, to arrest some of the villagers of Ramkishtopoor. Instead of doing this, he went to Andaria and appears to have tried to make some ryots go with Mr. Larmour's Amin to the factory to get Indigo land; hence a dispute arose, and the Burkundaz was ejected from the village.	April 17th 1860. Released, and burkundaze, put on his defence, the burkundaze was afterwards suspended for 3 months.
No. 74	Moonshee Biswas.	Baka Ulla Peyada.	<i>Assault and Attempt to Plunder.</i> Prosecutor and others were originally charged by Defendants party under Act XI, 1860, with destroying indigo. This charge was dismissed; thereupon this countercharge was brought, Defendant and his party appear to have gone to the village to force the Prosecutor to pay their rents to the Khalbolia factory; they were resisted by the villagers and forced to run off; the Prosecutor not satisfied with the charge he could establish, brought after charges such as stealing cattle, failing in proving those, he was held to fail in all.	Released, July 9th 1860.

1860. No. 102	Jiban Peada.	Kullum Shah and 33 others.	<i>Riotous assembly.</i> The prisoners assembled one morning and proceeded in a riotous manner to within the precincts of the Paikpore factory armed with spears; no violence was actually offered to the factory servants. <i>Assault and wounding.</i>	21 of the Defendants sentenced to 2 months imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine in 5 days or labor, and 50 fine and 1 month under same conditions. Appeal to Session Judge; appeal upheld.
No. 26	Mandari Mirza.	Uma Churn Sandial, and two others servants of Mr. Meares, Chaundpore factory.	Prosecutor alleged that on going out to cut grass, he saw the three defendants with about 40 lattials; he fled homeward was pursued and wounded by one of the defendants, that the villagers turned out and the factory people fled.	April 4th 1860. Released. Evidence for the prosecutor was very unsatisfactory.
No. 461	Sheraj Sheikh, Peyada, Foujdari Court, Nuddea.	Ujal Biswas and 16 others.	<i>Assault and riotous assemblage.</i>	May 1st 1860. 6 defendants sentenced to 3 months imprisonment each. One Defendant sentenced to 1 month imprisonment and 6 Rs. fine in 2 days or labor. One Defendant sentenced to 3 month imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine in days or labor, the rest were acquitted. Appeal. The papers are still with the Session Judge.
No. 735	Ramchurn Chatterjee, Gomasta of Mr. Wood, Purindapore factory.	Hosain Ghurami and 13 others.	<i>Assault and riotous assemblage.</i>	May 30th 1860. 3 Defendants sentenced to 1 month imprisonment and 10 Rs. fine in 5 days or labor. 1 Defendant sentenced to 1 month imprisonment, the rest were released. Appeal. Papers with the Session Judge.
No. 78	Chunder Malita Ryot of Joyrampore.	Kumiruddin Sirkar of Loknathpore factory and 4 others.	<i>Assault.</i>	March 19th 1860. 3 Defendants sentenced to 1 month imprisonment and 5 Rs. fine in 5 days or labor. 1 Defendant acquitted. Appeal Decision upheld by Session Judge, but papers not yet come back.
No. 79	Gopal Sheikh Ryot	1 Kumirddin Sirkar 2 Haris Ghose.	Assault. <i>Assault.</i>	Defendant (1) acquitted. Defendant (1) sentenced to 1 month imprisonment and 5 Rs. fine or labor.
78	Waris Mridha and others Khalassis of Mr. Larmour, Katgarra Factory.	Gopal Mundal and 40 others.	The prosecutors went by Mr. Larmour's orders, and tried to persuade the ryots of Doorgapore to take indigo seed from the factory. These ryots and their neighbours were much excited at the time, and had previously attacked Mr. Larmour, and a body of about 150 men fell on the prosecutors and severely handled them.	3 prisoners sentenced to 6 months and labor or 20 Rs. fine payable in 7 days, and 50 Rs. fine or 6 months addition. 4 prisoners sentenced to 6 months and labor or 20 Rs. fine payable in 7 days. 1 prisoner (a chowkidar) ditto and dismissed without pay. 1 prisoner 3 months imprisonment and labor or 10 Rs. fine in 7 days, the rest proved a sort of <i>alibi</i> and were acquitted. Appeal to the Session Judge. Decision upheld, June 15th 1860.

endix No II.

1860. No. 121	Mr. Cöckshott, Katgarra factory papers still with the Sessions Judge.	Idu Mandul, and 9 others, ryots of Ramkistopore.	Attack on the prosecutor. <i>Tumultuous assemblage.</i>	July 18th 1860. 1 month and 20 Rs. fine in 7 days or labor. Appeal.
No. 143	Mr. Campbell, Joy-pore factory.	Hassan Ulla Biswas and 12 others.	Mr. Campbell and Mr. Larmour riding through Andulia were suddenly and unprovokedly attacked by the prisoners, armed with lathis. The prosecutors and Mr. Larmour had to escape at once. The prisoners arrested <i>one hour afterwards.</i>	May 14th 1860. 1 Defendant sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine in 7 days or labor, the rest to 3 months imprisonment and 10 Rs. fine in 7 days or labor. Appeal to Session Judge. Decision upheld, June 16th 1860.
Damurhuda. No. 24	Ram Chunder Biswas and 6 others	Debnath Biswas and 18 others. Mr. Wood's factory servants.	Attack on Village of Sharabaria, accompanied by wounding, plunder of houses. sowing indigo by force. The Defendants on the 5th May proceeded to Sharabaria village to sow indigo by force; then the prosecutor was severely wounded by one of the Defendants; two other men were also wounded. The Defendants also riotously attacked the above village, and plundered to a slight extent two or three houses. <i>Violent assault and false accusation of Criminal offence.</i>	May 30th 1860. Defendant (1) sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 25 Rs. fine, payable in five days or labor, and 100 Rs. fine or 3 months addition and same condition. Defendant (1) sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 10 Rs. fine, payable in 5 days or labor and 25 Rs. fine or 1 month addition and same conditions. 10 Defendant sentenced to 2 months (d) imprisonment and 10 Rs. fine payable in 5 days or labor.
Karimpore. No. 55	Khushi Sheik, Peada of the Amjhupi Factory.	Ramlall Biswas and three others, ryots.	The Prosecutor was seized by the defendants and given in to the authorities on the charge of driving cattle away belonging to them; he was violently treated by them. It was proved however that some hundreds of Ryots had that day assembled at the village and that Prosecutor having gone there to change money was attacked by the defendants. <i>Riotous assemblage and attempted attack on the Amjhupi Factory,</i>	March 20th 1860. Defendant (1) sentenced to 2 months imprisonment, and 20 Rs. fine or 1 month additional imprisonment and 6 Rs. fine in lieu of labor. Defendants (2) sentenced to 3 months imprisonment, and 30 Rs. fine or 2 months additional imprisonment and 9 Rs. fine in lieu of labor. Defendants (3) 20 Rs. fine, or 1 month imprisonment. Defendants (4) 20 Rs. fine, or 1 month imprisonment. Appeal to Session Judge, May 17th 1860. Decision upheld.
1860. No. 63	Hasil Sheik, a Takidgir of the Amjhupi Factory.	Ramlall Biswas and 6 others Ryots.	The Ryots of several villages assembled in numbers at the village Amjhupi; a party of about 100 approached the Factory, but after shouting and brandishing their sticks they dispersed of their own accord.	March 21st 1860. Dismissed. The fact of the assemblage was established, but not the intent, and the witnesses for prosecution gave palpably false evidence.

No. 74	Miajan Sheik and Goburdhun Khan chowkidars of the Sonadoha Factory.	Sriram Biswas 9 others, Ryots.	<i>Violent assault, Illegal imprisonment, false accusation of criminal offence.</i>	April 17th 1860. Defendant (1) sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and 50 Rs. fine, in lieu of labor. Defendants (2, 3) sentenced to 6 months imprisonment and 20 Rs. fine (each) in lieu of labor. Defendants (4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 15 Rs. fine (each) in lieu of labor. Appeal to Session Judge. Decision upheld, May 23rd 1860.
No. 193	Bukshi Biswas, Amin of the Chitka Factory. Tinkaori Sheik, Burkundaz of the Katuli Factory. Jadu Sheik and Pundit Sheik, ryots.	Brojo Biswas and 11 others.	<i>Assault and wounding and Illegal imprisonment.</i>	May 28th 1860. Defendants sentenced to 3 months imprisonment and 15 Rs. fine, in lieu of labor each. Appeal to Session Judge. Decision upheld, June 27th 1860.
No. 190	Zamir Sheik Takidgir.	Dwarkanath Go-sain, ryot.	<i>Violent assault and false imprisonment.</i>	June 16th 1860. Dismissed, the evidence for the prosecution was palpably false, the witnesses not having been present at the occurrence. Also, the Defendant was not sole occupier of the house, and not having been present at the release could not be identified with the capture of the Prosecutor.

W. J. HERSCHEL,

Officiating Magistrate.

The 15th August 1860.

595